

Tetrahedron Letters 44 (2003) 8689-8691

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

Cyanuric and thiocyanuric esters as carriers of boron-containing fragments and their fragmentation in mass spectrometry

Yuri A. Azev, a,b Thomas Dülcksa and Detlef Gabela,*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Bremen, PO Box 330440, D-28334 Bremen, Germany ^bUral Scientific Research Institute of the Technology of Medicinal Preparations, Ekaterinburg, Russia

Received 27 June 2003; revised 18 September 2003; accepted 18 September 2003

Abstract—Tripropargylic esters 2 and 10 of cyanuric and thiocyanuric acids were synthesized. Interaction of these compounds with disubstituted amines gives monoaminoderivatives of dipropargyloxy-s-triazine 4 and 11. Diaminosubstituted propargyloxy-s-triazine 6 was prepared from the corresponding diaminochloroderivative 5. First examples of boron-containing s-triazines 7, 8, 12, 13 were prepared by reaction of propargyl esters 4, 6, 10, 11 with decaborane. New rearrangements of the molecular ions of the 2-aminoderivatives of 4,6-dipropargyloxy-1,3,5-triazine in mass spectrometry were found.

© 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The transport and accumulation of boron-containing compounds into tumor tissue, which is necessary for further improvement of BNCT (boron neutron cancer therapy), is a continuing challenge. It seems advantageous to use *s*-triazines as heterocyclic carriers of boron-containing fragments. These compounds may act as antimetabolites of pyrimidine bases and can accumulate in cancer cells. *s*-Triazines are also being investigated as anticancer drugs³.

In the present work synthesis of the known 2,4,6-tripropargyloxy-1,3,5-triazine 2^4 was performed by interaction of cyanur chloride 1 with propargyl alcohol in acetone with alkali (Scheme 1). Short heating of propargyl cyanurate 2 in dilute HCl gave cyanuric acid 3

Upon heating of 2,4,6-tripropargyloxyderivative 2 with disubstituted amines (morpholine and piperidine) in

Scheme 1.

Keywords: triazine; o-carborane; mass spectrometric fragmentation.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-421-2182200; fax: +49-421-2182871; e-mail: azural@dialup.utk.ru; gabel@chemie.uni-bremen.de

Scheme 2.

boiling ethanol the corresponding monoaminoderivatives of 4,6-dipropargyloxy-s-triazine **4a,b** were obtained.[†]

The synthesis of diaminopropargylderivatives was carried out conveniently in another way. Thus, interaction of cyanuric chloride 1 with morpholine at a stepwise increase of temperature from 0 to 40°C gives the known 2,4-dimorpholyl-6-chloro-s-triazine 5.5 2,4-Dimorpholyl-6-propargyloxy-s-triazine 6 was obtained by reaction of 5 with propargyl alcohol with alkali at 40–45°C.

We synthesized the 2,4,6-tripropargylthioderivative 10 by interaction of the thiocyanuric acid 9 in 2% aqueous NaOH with propargyl bromide at room temperature for 3 h (Scheme 2). Upon heating of 10 with morpholine in boiling ethanol, the corresponding morpholyl derivative 11 was produced.

† All new compounds gave satisfactory mass spectra. Spectra with boron fragments gave the expected isotope distribution pattern. These ions are indicated with [‡]. Structure was established by ¹H NMR. Indicated are: no. of compound, yield %, mp °C (solvent for crystallization). molecular ion mass, m/z (relative intensity, %). $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR in DMSO-d₆, 200 MHz: 4a, 45-50. 108-109 (ethanol). 274 (26), $[M]^{+\bullet}$. 3.55 (t, 2H, J=2.4 Hz, 2×CH), 3.60–3.75 (m, 8H, $4\times CH_2$), 4.96 (d, 4H, J=2.4 Hz, $2\times OCH_2$). **4b**, 45–50, 104–105 (ethanol). 272 (21), [M]+*. 1.40-1.70 (m, 6H, 3×CH₂), 3.55 (br. s, 2H, 2×CH), 3.60-3.80 (br. s, 4H, 2×CH₂), 4.93 (br. s, 4H, 2× OCH_2). 6, 30–35, 124–125 (ethanol). 305 (30), $[M]^{+\bullet}$. 3.50 (br. s, 1H, CH), 3.55–3.80 (m, 16H, 8×CH₂), 4.90 (br. s, 2H, OCH₂). **7a**, 40-45, 115-116 (acetic acid). 511^{\ddagger} (100), $[M]^{+\bullet}$. -0.5-+4.0 (br. m, 20H, $2 \times B_{10}H_{10}$), 3.55-3.85 (m, 8H, $4 \times CH_2$), 4.92 (br. s, 4H, $2 \times B_{10}H_{10}$) OCH₂), 5.24 (br. s, 2H, 2×CH). **7b**, 40–45, 160–161 (acetic acid). 509^{\ddagger} (100), [M]^{+•}. -1.5–+4.5 (br. m, 20H, $20 \times B_{10}H_{10}$); 1.30–1.70 (m, 6H, 3×CH₂), 3.74 (br. s, 4H, 2×CH₂), 4.93 (br. s, 4H, 2×OCH₂), 5.26 (br. s, 2H, 2×CH). 8, 20-25, 145-146 (precipitation from acetic acid by water). 424^{\ddagger} (100), $[M]^{+\bullet}$. 0-+4.5 (br. m, 10H, $B_{10}H_{10}$), 3.20–4.00 (m, 16H, 8×CH₂), 4.88 (br. s, 2H, OCH₂), 5.24 (br. s, 1H, CH). 10, 70–75, 77–78 (ethanol). 291 (3), $[M]^{+\bullet}$. 3.22 (t, 3H, J=2.4Hz, $3\times$ CH), 4.02 (d, 6H, J=2.4 Hz, $3\times$ SCH₂). 11, 70-75, 114-115(ethanol). 306 (1), $[M]^{+\bullet}$. 3.16 (, 2H, J=2.4 Hz, 2×CH), 3.45–3.80 (m, 8H, $4\times CH_2$), 3.92 (d, 4H, J=2.4 Hz, $2\times SCH_2$). 12, 25–30, 150–151 (precipitation from acetic acid by water). 646[‡] (23), [M]⁺•. 0+4.5 (br. s, 30 H, $3 \times B_{10}H_{10}$), 4.19 (br. s, 6H, $3 \times SCH_2$), 5.18 (br. s, 3H, 3× CH). 13, 35–40, 128–130 (precipitation from acetic acid by water). 542^{\ddagger} (66), [M]^{+•}. 0.4-4.5 (br. s, 20H, $2 \times B_{10}H_{10}$), 3.50-4.00 (m, 8H, 4×CH₂), 4.13 (br. s, 4H, 2×CH₂), 5.16 (br. s, 2H, 2×CH). Synthesis of the carboranes was achieved by heating the propargyl derivatives **4**, **6**, **10**, and **11** with decaborane and acetonitrile in toluene for 15–18 h in analogy to the procedure described elsewhere.⁶ After evaporation of the reaction solution the corresponding boron-containing derivatives **7**, **8**, **12**, and **13** were obtained (Schemes 1 and 2).

o-Carboranyl derivatives of 1,3,5-s-triazines have been described recently.⁷ The derivatives described here were obtained by the addition of decaborane to a triple bond, and contain an additional methylene group between the triazine ring and the carborane, whereas the structures described in Ref. 7 have been obtained by the action of lithium carboranes with cyanuric chlorides.

The electron impact (EI) mass spectra of the propargyloxy and propargylthio derivatives of 1,3,5-triazine show fragment ions [M–OCH₂–CCH]⁺ and [M–CH₂–CCH]⁺, resulting from cleavages of the bonds on both sides of the O- or S-atom.

For the aminopropargyl cyanurates **4a,b** we observed an unusual fragmentation of the molecular ion. Both molecules gave intensive fragment ions at [M-92]⁺

$$4a,b \quad a \quad R = N$$

$$O = C = N$$

$$O = C$$

$$O = C = N$$

$$O = C$$

Scheme 3.

Scheme 4. The masses followed by parentheses indicate observed ions and their relative intensities.

which could be shown by the DADI (Direct Analysis of Daughter Ions) scanning technique to originate directly from the molecular ions. High resolution measurements of the corresponding molecular and fragment ions showed that in both cases a neutral fragment with an atomic composition of C_6H_6N was ejected from the molecular ion; the fragment probably consists of two propargyl substituents and one N-atom of the triazine cycle. The generation of this fragment may be rationalized by the migration O-CH₂CCH \rightarrow N-CH₂CCH (analogous to a Claisen rearrangement) and subsequent skeleton rearrangement resulting in formation of a 1,3-diazetin (or opened isomer) as shown in Scheme 3.

Moreover, for the morpholyl (or piperidyl)-substituted cyanurates **4a,b** and the thiocyanurate **11** fragmentation and elimination of the amino substituent as a whole or with neighbouring fragments of the heterocycle were observed.

The main fragmentation pathways of the boron-containing cyanurates and thiocyanurates under EI conditions are the elimination of $CH_2CCHB_{10}H_{10}$ ([M-157]⁺)[‡] and of $XCH_2CCB_{10}H_{10}$ (X=O [M-173]⁺ [‡] or X=X [M-189]⁺ [‡], see Scheme 4).

Another characteristic fragmentation for 1,3,5-triazines is the elimination of [NCSCH₂CCHB₁₀H₁₀]⁺ (m/z = 214[‡]), which leads to a contraction of the triazine cycle to a 1,3-diaziridine. For the amino derivatives of the boron-containing cyanurates and thiocyanurates, fragmentation of the alicyclic amine moiety (ions [M-CHO]⁺ and [M-OC₄H₈N]⁺) is observed in addition to cleavage of the propargyl substituents.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to Yu. Azev (436 RUS 17/54/02) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Soloway, A. H.; Tjarks, W.; Barnum, B. A.; Rong, F. G.; Barth, R. F.; Codogni, I. M.; Wilson, J. G. *Chem. Rev.* 1998, 98, 1515–1562.
- Borkovec, A. A.; Demilo, A. B. J. Med. Chem. 1967, 10, 457–461.
- Coley, H. M.; Jarman, M.; Brooks, N.; Thornton, T. J.; Judson, I. R. Eur. J. Cancer 1994, 30A, 1827–1836.
- Danilov, S. N.; Yastrebova, L. N.; Galka, A. L.; Sanina, A. S. Zh. Org. Khim. 1979, 15, 1146.
- Pearlman, W. M.; Banks, C. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 3726–3728.
- Heying, T. I.; Jaeger, J. W.; Clark, S. I.; Mangold, D. J.; Goldstein, H. I.; Hillman, M.; Polak, R. J.; Szymanski, J. W. *Inorg. Chem.* 1963, 2, 1089–1096.
- Lee, C.-H.; Lim, H.-G.; Lee, J.-D.; Lee, Y.-L.; Ko, J.; Nakamura, H.; Kang, S.-O. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2003, 17, 539–548.

[‡] These masses correspond to the maximum intensity peak of a fragment showing the expected isotope distribution pattern for 10 boron atoms with natural abundance of boron-10 and boron-11.